



Local Government Council Office, 828 Main St., 12th Floor, Lynchburg, VA 24504

Thursday, July 16, 2026; 4:00 p.m.

Virtual Access: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82142685169?pwd=wBKfUCRTPsKuZBuaYU23OzrUaaS2Eh.1>

Phone: 1 301 715 8592; Meeting ID: 821 4268 5169, Passcode: 981473

Agenda

- 1. Call to Order & Establishment of Quorum (Action Item)**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
Staff Report (Attachment 1)
- 2. Approval of Minutes: May 21, 2026 (Action Item)**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
(Attachment 2)
- 3. CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) 2050 Schedule** Kelly Hitchcock, CVTPO
(Attachment 3)
- 4. Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) Structure Amendment (Action Item)**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
(Attachment 4a) (Attachment 4b)
- 5. Connect Central Virginia 2050, CVTPO LRTP**Thomas Ruff, Timmons Group
 - 4a. Draft Initial Project List
 - 4b. Public Engagement
 - 4e. Next Steps
- 6. Opportunity for Public Comment**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
- 7. Matters from the Board and/or Staff**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
- 8. Adjourn**Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
- 9. Informational Items**
 - a. CVTPO next meeting: August 20, 2026

The CVTPO ensures nondiscrimination and equal employment in all programs and activities in accordance with Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. If you have questions or concerns about your civil rights regarding participation or need special assistance for persons with disabilities or limited English proficiency, please contact the CVTPO. Sign language or non-English language interpreters will be provided if needed and requested in advance of this meeting.

Please contact the CVTPO at 434-845-3491 to request an interpreter.



July 16, 2026 Meeting

Staff Report

1. Call to Order & Establishment of Quorum

Confirmation of a Quorum. If needed, approval for Electronic Meeting member participation.

2. Minutes Approval: May 21, 2026 (Action Item)

May 21, 2026 CVTPO meeting minutes (Attachment 2) are provided for review and approval consideration.

Recommendation: Approval of Minutes.

3. CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan 2050 Update Schedule (informational)

The CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan, with Timmons Group, as the consultant was underway with an original December, 2026 completion schedule. CVTPO staff, along with VDOT and DRPT state partners, were informed through FHWA that the CVTPO LRTP/CVLRTP had lapsed, thus impacting the CVTPO's FY27-30 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) and also the . This means that the March 17, 2022, the date at which the CVTPO adopted the LRTP Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP), is not the beginning of the five-year update, rather the five-year clock began September 16, 2020.

The CVTPO LRTP 2050 update completion schedule has been advanced to allow for CVTPO adoption, pending 30-day comment period, consideration at the September 17, 2026 meeting. The 30-day comment period of the Connect Central Virginia 2050 – Long Range Transportation Plan will run from September 18, 2026 to October 19, 2026, with final completion date and submittal to FHWA, FTA, VDOT, DRPT on October 20, 2026. This schedule was developed in coordination and consultation with FHWA,

CVTPO staff will provide a summary of the CVTPO LRTP 2050 update schedule, the impact to the FY27-30 CVTIP and the FY27-30 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The LRTP 2050 update schedule, developed in consultation and collaboration with FHWA, VDOT, Timmons Group, and DRPT is provided as *Attachment 3*.

4. Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) Structure Amendment (Action Item)

The CVTPO, at the June 15, 2023 meeting, approved the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) Structure, being the assigned 2022, the LRTP 2050 base year, population and employment for each of the 275 individual TAZs located within the CVTPO boundary. As part of the June 15, 2023 TAZ approval, the CVTPO also approved the 2050, being the LRTP update planning horizon year, projected population and employment for the same 275 TAZs. The TAZ 2022 baseline and the 2050 horizon projections are the baseline by which the LRTP Travel Demand Model and project evaluation outputs are derived.

Timmons Group, the LRTP consultants, in consideration of project conversations with TPO-area staff and VDOT, and in review of the Travel Demand Model, felt some of the TAZ 2050 population and

employment projections, in portions of Amherst County, Bedford County/Forest area, and Campbell County/29, were suspect and did not reflect growth conversations with locality staff.

As a result, Timmons, in coordination with locality staff, TTC and VDOT, have developed updated 2050 population and employment projections changes within 44 of the CVTPO area TAZ. The majority of the changes took place in Amherst County, where there were multiple TAZ showing negative growth. County staff wanted to reallocate some TAZ with large population increase to other TAZ to is now 0, coordinating with other CVTPO areas, instead of negative. Campbell County, the 2050 population projection for the entire County is 58,775. The TAZ proposal reflects the population in the MPO area at 40,972, representing just under 70% of the County's total population, thus increasing the CVTPO growth with Campbell.

Attachment 4a provides a detailed summary of the proposed TAZ 2050 population and employment changes for 44 of the TAZs, with the information on changes within each TAZ. *Attachment 4b* is a screen shot of the updated TAZ map.

The Traffic Analysis Structure Amendment, which incorporates the TAZ 2050 population and employment projection changes, has been reviewed and approved by VDOT Central Office and the TTC at the July 9, 2026 meeting. Thomas Ruff, Senior Project Manager – Transportation Planning, Analysis & Design, Timmons Group will provide an overview and be available to answer any questions regarding the CVTPO TAZ Structure Amendment approval being requested by the CVTPO.

Recommendation: Staff recommend the CVTPO approval the TAZ Structure Amendment to reflect 2050 projected population and employment changes within forty-four (44) of the CVTPO area TAZ.

5. Connect Central Virginia 2050, CVTPO LRTP (informational)

Thomas Ruff, Timmons Group, will provide a presentation on the LRTP project evaluation and data finding to date, including the Travel Demand Model outputs and foundation for pending LRTP constrained project list and vision plan development.

Recommendation: Participation in Important LRTP development presentation, where the information will serve as the foundation for the project evaluation and prioritization process, which will be part of the August 20, 2026 CVTPO meeting, a working session.

6. Opportunity for Public Comment

The CVTPO meetings are open to the public. At this time any public participant is provided an opportunity to address the CVTPO. Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes per individual.

7. Matters from the Board and/or Staff (all items informational)

a. State, Federal, Local agency partner updates

A time for VDOT, DRPT, FHWA, FTA, GLTC partners to share program information.

b. Other

Open discussion opportunity.

8. Adjourn

Informational Item

The next CVTPO meeting, which will be an LRTP working session, will be Thursday, August 20, 2026.



Central Virginia Transportation Planning Organization
May 21, 2026, 4:00 p.m. Meeting
CVPDC Office, 828 Main St., 12th Floor, Lynchburg, VA

DRAFT MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT

Chris Adams Amherst County
Kenny Craig (virtual) Liberty University
Tyler Creasy (proxy for Jeremy Bryant) Amherst County
Robert Hiss Bedford County
Josh Moore Greater Lynchburg Transit Company
Frank Rogers..... Campbell County
John Sharp, Chair Bedford County
Dwayne Tuggle, Vice-Chair Town of Amherst
Kent White (proxy for Wynter Benda) City of Lynchburg
Chris Winstead VDOT – Lynchburg District

MEMBERS ABSENT

Tom Lawton Campbell County
Sara McGuffin Town of Amherst
Martin Misjuns Lynchburg City Council
Evan Tuten Virginia Department of Rail & Public Transit

OTHERS PRESENT

Alec Brebner CVPDC/CVTPO
Michael Gray (virtual) VDOT – Salem District
Kelly Hitchcock CVPDC/CVTPO
Ada Hunsberger (virtual) CVPDC/CVTPO
Hannah Mitchell CVPDC
Kelli Rowan VDOT – Lynchburg District

1. Call to Order & Establishment of Quorum

John Sharp, Chair, called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. and confirmed a meeting quorum.

2. Approval of Minutes: April 16, 2026

Sharp entertained a motion to approve the minutes.

MOTION: Frank Rogers moved to approve the April 16, 2026, minutes as presented. Robert Hiss seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Proposed FY2027 – 2030 CVTIP

Sharp opened the floor for public comment. Hearing none, he closed the session.

Ada Hunsberger reported receiving support from state partners, and no public comments on the draft.

MOTION: Frank Rogers moved to adopt the FY2027 – 2030 CVTIP as presented. Dwayne Tuggle seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Proposed FY2027 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

Kelly Hitchcock reported minor changes in the draft since the last meeting, noting the removal of environmental justice language. She also noted adding the FAST Act Planning Factors to the introductory section and the responsible parties to each task.

She then addressed Frank Rogers and Robert Hiss' question from the April meeting about money budgeted to support Smart Scale applications. She confirmed that the funding may be used for planning but not design engineering. Kelli Rowan offered to connect those interested in VDOT resources with the appropriate party. Hiss described an unexpected cost Bedford County encountered in last year's application process.

MOTION: Frank Rogers moved to adopt the FY2027 Unified Planning Work Program as presented. Robert Hiss seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

5. Support Consideration for Smart Scale Applications

The board considered 10 Smart Scale applications from within the TPO planning boundaries.

MOTION: Dwayne Tuggle moved to approve the resolution of support as presented. Frank Rogers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

6. CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)

Hitchcock reported that consultant firm Timmons Group is working with localities to update and confirm the 2023 travel demand model and transportation analysis zones (TAZs). They are updating the distribution of projected growth to better reflect recent planning and development. The Transportation Technical Committee and CVTPO will review the updated model in July for approval consideration.

Hitchcock noted scheduling an August 20, 2026, CVTPO meeting at 4:30 p.m. to work on the LRTP.

Upon questioning, Hitchcock confirmed that the LRTP will contain a constrained list and a larger "wish list" for future transportation projects within the TPO planning boundaries.

7. FY26 Work Program Update

a. US DOT Thriving Communities

Hitchcock reported that consultant Timmons Group is progressing in the 12th Street facility design.

b. GLTC Bus Stop Inventory and Facility Recommendation Plan

Hitchcock noted receiving a scope of work from consultant Kimley Horne to complete the bus stop inventory and create foundational GIS data in partnership with GLTC, VDOT, DRPT, and the City of Lynchburg.

c. Timberlake GLTC Service Expansion Assessment

Hitchcock thanked Hunsberger for her work in partnership with GLTC and the Campbell County Office of Economic Development. They developed a survey to assess transportation needs among Timberlake businesses, employees, and the public. Upon questioning, Hunsberger and Josh Moore confirmed that the survey wording is careful to avoid setting expectations for expansion.

8. Opportunity for Public Comment

Sharp opened the public comment period. Hearing none, Sharp closed the public comment period.

9. Matters from the Board and/or Staff

a. State & Federal agency partner updates

Chris Winstead updated that VDOT received a bid for the Candler Mountain Road Interchange Improvement project outside of the engineer's range, and they hope to receive more bids in June.

Winstead also reported receiving two bids for the Wards Ferry Roundabout project, which was awarded to Allegheny Construction for \$7.7 million.

He noted speaking with VDOT – Salem District about the stop bar at Route 811 / Everett Road, which Sharp reported at the April meeting.

Finally, he reminded the board of the upcoming May 26, 2026, VDOT – Lynchburg District public meeting. He encouraged board members to attend and show their support for the region, including the potential Carter Glass Bridge project.

Moore noted an upcoming meeting with DRPT on May 26, 2026.

b. Other

Moore reported that GLTC recently received two awards from the Virginia Transit Association. One was first place in their size group for ridership growth.

Moore also noted upcoming projects, including shelter and bench installations, bike canopy and bike locker design engineering, and long-term work to move the Virginia Breeze bus to the transit facility.

Sharp asked about GLTC's operator shortage. Moore reported hiring four new operators and the retirement of two others, resulting in a small net increase of two employees. He noted that news articles about the shortage boosted interest in the available positions.

Hitchcock reported that many localities have adopted the Central Virginia Safety Action Plan, with several others considering adoption soon. She noted that upon board approval, the PDC may apply for additional Safe Streets & Road for All (SS4A) funding to sustain project momentum through safety demonstration projects.

Moore and Hitchcock noted an upcoming June 1, 2026, DRPT public meeting to discuss passenger and freight rail. DRPT is updating the Virginia Statewide Rail Plan (VSRP).

10. FY2027 Board Elections

Sharp opened the discussion by acknowledging the Town of Amherst and the City of Lynchburg as the next assigned Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively. He proposed electing Dwayne Tuggle as Chair and Wynter Benda as Vice-Chair. Tuggle accepted nomination, and Hitchcock confirmed Benda's willingness to serve.

MOTION: Frank Rogers moved to approve the slate as presented. Robert Hiss seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

11. Adjourn

MOTION: Chris Winstead moved to adjourn the meeting. Dwayne Tuggle seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously, and the meeting concluded at 4:45 p.m.

Informational Item: The CVTPO's next meeting is July 18, 2026.

The CVTPO ensures nondiscrimination and equal employment in all programs and activities in accordance with Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. If you have questions or concerns about your civil rights regarding participation or need special assistance for persons with disabilities or limited English proficiency, please contact the CVTPO. Sign language or non-English language interpreters will be provided if needed and requested in advance of this meeting. Please contact the CVTPO at 434-845-3491 to request an interpreter.

By:

Date:

Wynter Benda, Vice-Chair
Central Virginia Transportation Planning Organization

DRAFT

[illegible]

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

Bedford County

TAZ	70	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	2,089
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	1,969
	Difference	-120
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Bedford County, the County indicated that this TAZ is built out and that 120 people may be reallocated to TAZ #73, where the Board of Supervisors recently approved a single-family detached development (see below).	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	2,089
	Proposed Population in Households	1,969
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	1,736
	Proposed Households	1,636
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $2089 / 1736 = 1.2$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.2 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1636 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	1,506
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	1,506
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	73	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	190
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	310
	Difference	120
	Population Justification: Per Bedford County, 50 single family units are under development, with 2.4 average household size in the County = 120. Redistributed from TAZ 70.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	190
	Proposed Population in Households	310
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	67
	Proposed Households	109
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $190 / 67 = 2.84$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.84 was held consistent, leading to approximately 109 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	25
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	25
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

Campbell County

TAZ	37	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	3,356
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	3,581
	Difference	225
	Population Justification: Per the English Tavern Corridor Study, the Tavern Grove development is anticipated to construct 92 townhome lots within this TAZ. Census estimates indicate average household size is 2.45 for Campbell County. $2.45 * 92 = 225.4$	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	3,044
	Proposed Population in Households	3,269
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 312 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $3581 - 312 = 3269$	
Households	Original Households	1,383
	Proposed Households	1,485
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $3044 / 1383 = 2.2$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.2 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1485 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	685
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	685
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	40	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	389
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	2,700
	Difference	2,311
	Population Justification: Per the English Tavern Corridor Study, the English Commons and The Allure developments are anticipated to construct 402 and 541 units, respectively. Census estimates indicate average household size is 2.45 for Campbell County. $402 + 541 = 943 * 2.45 = 2,310.35$	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	389
	Proposed Population in Households	2,700
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	231
	Proposed Households	1,603
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $389 / 231 = 1.68$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.68 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1603 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	231
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	231
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	52	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	2,027
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	3,532
	Difference	1,505
	Population Justification: Per the English Tavern Corridor Study, the English Tavern Road and Depot Road developments are anticipated to construct 614 units, respectively. Census estimates indicate average household size is 2.45 for Campbell County. $614 * 2.45 = 1,504.3$	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	2,021
	Proposed Population in Households	3,526
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 6 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $3532 - 6 = 3526$	
Households	Original Households	971
	Proposed Households	1,694
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $2021 / 971 = 2.08$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.08 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1694 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	383
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	383
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

City of Lynchburg

TAZ	92	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	771
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	821
	Difference	50
	Population Justification: Per Lynchburg planners, reallocated 50 population from Wiggington TAZ (#118) due to an undeveloped R-4 parcel.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	771
	Proposed Population in Households	821
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	332
	Proposed Households	353
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $771 / 332 = 2.32$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.32 was held consistent, leading to approximately 354 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	528
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	528
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	118	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	964
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	914
	Difference	-50
	Population Justification: Per coordination with City of Lynchburg, growth will likely still occur here but not meet the projections here. The only project in the pipeline is a 370 unit plan (* 2.37 average HH size = 877). Remove 50 population and redistribute to TAZ #92.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	964
	Proposed Population in Households	914
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	389
	Proposed Households	368
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $964 / 389 = 2.48$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.48 was held consistent, leading to approximately 369 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	234
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	234
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	142	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	1,007
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	1,207
	Difference	200
	Population Justification: Per coordination with City of Lynchburg, the Virginia Baptist area was suggested as a better location to absorb redistributed growth projections. 600 population removed from TAZ #170 and redistributed evenly for TAZs #142, #143, and #222.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	980
	Proposed Population in Households	1,180
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 27 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $1207 - 27 = 1180$	
Households	Original Households	418
	Proposed Households	503
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $980 / 418 = 2.34$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.34 was held consistent, leading to approximately 503 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	1,371
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	1,371
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	143	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	1,315
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	1,515
	Difference	200
	Population Justification: Per coordination with City of Lynchburg, the Virginia Baptist area was suggested as a better location to absorb redistributed growth projections. 600 population removed from TAZ #170 and redistributed evenly for TAZs #142, #143, and #222.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	1,310
	Proposed Population in Households	1,510
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 5 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $1515 - 5 = 1510$	
Households	Original Households	587
	Proposed Households	677
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $1310 / 587 = 2.23$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.23 was held consistent, leading to approximately 677 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	305
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	305
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	170	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	795
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	195
	Difference	-600
	Population Justification: Per coordination with City of Lynchburg, development appears unlikely in near term, would require rezoning and major sewer infrastructure. Recommendation to reduce projected growth in this TAZ.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	795
	Proposed Population in Households	195
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	428
	Proposed Households	105
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $795 / 428 = 1.86$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.86 was held consistent, leading to approximately 105 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	25
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	25
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	222	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	473
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	673
	Difference	200
	Population Justification: Per coordination with City of Lynchburg, the Virginia Baptist area was suggested as a better location to absorb redistributed growth projections. 600 population removed from TAZ #170 and redistributed evenly for TAZs #142, #143, and #222.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	473
	Proposed Population in Households	673
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	216
	Proposed Households	307
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $473 / 216 = 2.19$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.19 was held consistent, leading to approximately 307 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	3
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	3
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

Amherst County

TAZ	172	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	623
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	676
	Difference	53
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	623
	Proposed Population in Households	676
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	325
	Proposed Households	353
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $623 / 325 = 1.92$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.92 was held consistent, leading to approximately 353 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	78
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	78
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	197	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	162
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	180
	Difference	18
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	162
	Proposed Population in Households	180
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	90
	Proposed Households	100
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $162 / 90 = 1.8$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.8 was held consistent, leading to approximately 100 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	1,069
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	1,069
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	207	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	3,700
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	3,392
	Difference	-308
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, growth reallocated from TAZ #207 and #211 to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	3,700
	Proposed Population in Households	3,392
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	1,568
	Proposed Households	1,437
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $3700 / 1568 = 2.36$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.36 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1437 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	515
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	515
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	211	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	3,556
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	3,248
	Difference	-308
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, growth reallocated from TAZ #207 and #211 to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	3,556
	Proposed Population in Households	3,248
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	1,651
	Proposed Households	1,508
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $3556 / 1651 = 2.15$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.15 was held consistent, leading to approximately 1508 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	1,279
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	1,279
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	213	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	600
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	652
	Difference	52
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	600
	Proposed Population in Households	652
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	300
	Proposed Households	326
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $600 / 300 = 2$ persons per household. The ratio of 2 was held consistent, leading to approximately 326 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	42
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	42
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	230	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	930
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	1,033
	Difference	103
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	921
	Proposed Population in Households	1,024
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 9 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $1033 - 9 = 1024$	
Households	Original Households	478
	Proposed Households	531
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $921 / 478 = 1.93$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.93 was held consistent, leading to approximately 531 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	20
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	20
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	231	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	800
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	851
	Difference	51
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	800
	Proposed Population in Households	851
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	367
	Proposed Households	390
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $800 / 367 = 2.18$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.18 was held consistent, leading to approximately 390 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	352
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	577
	Difference	225
	Employment Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County & EDA, added employment numbers due to Amazon Warehouse (approx. 40 jobs), multi-tenant bldg on Progress Ln (up to 55 jobs), and vacant lots within Amelon Commerce Center have potential for up to 130 jobs.	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	232	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	134
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	149
	Difference	15
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	134
	Proposed Population in Households	149
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	66
	Proposed Households	73
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $134 / 66 = 2.03$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.03 was held consistent, leading to approximately 73 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	6
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	6
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	233	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	1,573
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	1,573
	Difference	0
	Population Justification: No change	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	1,573
	Proposed Population in Households	1,573
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	710
	Proposed Households	710
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $1573 / 710 = 2.22$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.22 was held consistent, leading to approximately 710 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	209
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	322
	Difference	113
	Employment Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, 6 new employment generators include Mi Fitness Gym, renovated commercial complex with multiple tenants, Sunshine's Diner, Vintage Shop, the new Johnson Health Center (Amherst County Community Health Center), Automotive Repair Garage.	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	234	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	2,124
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	2,124
	Difference	0
	Population Justification: No change	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	2,108
	Proposed Population in Households	2,108
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 16 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $2124 - 16 = 2108$	
Households	Original Households	886
	Proposed Households	886
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $2108 / 886 = 2.38$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.38 was held consistent, leading to approximately 886 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	507
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	525
	Difference	18
	Employment Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, 2 new employment generators include Sandrof Auto Body and Pho Saigon Vietnamese Restaurant.	

TAZ	236	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	251
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	278
	Difference	27
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	251
	Proposed Population in Households	278
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	116
	Proposed Households	128
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $251 / 116 = 2.16$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.16 was held consistent, leading to approximately 128 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	42
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	42
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	237	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	149
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	166
	Difference	17
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	93
	Proposed Population in Households	110
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 56 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $166 - 56 = 110$	
Households	Original Households	63
	Proposed Households	75
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $93 / 63 = 1.48$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.48 was held consistent, leading to approximately 75 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	19
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	19
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	240	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	212
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	232
	Difference	20
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	212
	Proposed Population in Households	232
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	129
	Proposed Households	141
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $212 / 129 = 1.64$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.64 was held consistent, leading to approximately 141 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	36
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	36
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	241	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	204
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	233
	Difference	19
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	204
	Proposed Population in Households	223
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	121
	Proposed Households	132
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $204 / 121 = 1.69$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.69 was held consistent, leading to approximately 132 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	242	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	226
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	247
	Difference	21
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	226
	Proposed Population in Households	247
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	89
	Proposed Households	97
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $226 / 89 = 2.54$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.54 was held consistent, leading to approximately 97 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	93
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	93
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	243	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	533
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	583
	Difference	50
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	533
	Proposed Population in Households	583
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	261
	Proposed Households	285
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $533 / 261 = 2.04$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.04 was held consistent, leading to approximately 285 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	43
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	46
	Difference	3
	Employment Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, new employment generator - Superior Metal.	

TAZ	249	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	58
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	60
	Difference	2
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	58
	Proposed Population in Households	60
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	27
	Proposed Households	28
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $58 / 27 = 2.15$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.15 was held consistent, leading to approximately 28 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	250	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	171
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	179
	Difference	8
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	171
	Proposed Population in Households	179
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	83
	Proposed Households	87
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $171 / 83 = 2.06$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.06 was held consistent, leading to approximately 87 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	251	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	432
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	452
	Difference	20
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	432
	Proposed Population in Households	452
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	221
	Proposed Households	231
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $432 / 221 = 1.95$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.95 was held consistent, leading to approximately 231 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	252	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	58
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	64
	Difference	6
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	58
	Proposed Population in Households	64
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	29
	Proposed Households	32
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $58 / 29 = 2$ persons per household. The ratio of 2 was held consistent, leading to approximately 32 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	0
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	255	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	610
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	614
	Difference	4
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	196
	Proposed Population in Households	200
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 414 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $614 - 414 = 200$	
Households	Original Households	117
	Proposed Households	119
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $196 / 117 = 1.68$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.68 was held consistent, leading to approximately 119 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	268
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	268
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	256	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	141
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	156
	Difference	126
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates. Additionally, 46 new single family residential lots created (* 2.4 average household size = 110).	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	141
	Proposed Population in Households	267
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	86
	Proposed Households	163
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $141 / 86 = 1.64$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.64 was held consistent, leading to approximately 163 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	257	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	160
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	176
	Difference	16
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	160
	Proposed Population in Households	176
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	91
	Proposed Households	100
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $160 / 91 = 1.76$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.76 was held consistent, leading to approximately 100 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	10
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	10
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	258	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	95
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	97
	Difference	2
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	95
	Proposed Population in Households	97
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	40
	Proposed Households	41
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $95 / 40 = 2.38$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.38 was held consistent, leading to approximately 41 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	9
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	260	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	330
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	330
	Difference	6
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	330
	Proposed Population in Households	336
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	192
	Proposed Households	195
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $330 / 192 = 1.72$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.72 was held consistent, leading to approximately 195 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	1281
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	1281
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	261	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	69
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	70
	Difference	1
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	69
	Proposed Population in Households	70
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	33
	Proposed Households	33
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $69 / 33 = 2.09$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.09 was held consistent, leading to approximately 33 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	78
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	78
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	266	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	99
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	108
	Difference	9
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	99
	Proposed Population in Households	108
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	51
	Proposed Households	56
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $99 / 51 = 1.94$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.94 was held consistent, leading to approximately 56 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	267	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	65
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	72
	Difference	7
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	65
	Proposed Population in Households	72
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	35
	Proposed Households	39
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $65 / 35 = 1.86$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.86 was held consistent, leading to approximately 39 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	2
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	2
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	268	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	203
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	226
	Difference	23
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	203
	Proposed Population in Households	226
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	106
	Proposed Households	118
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $203 / 106 = 1.92$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.92 was held consistent, leading to approximately 118 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	4
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	269	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	422
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	468
	Difference	46
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	422
	Proposed Population in Households	468
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	210
	Proposed Households	233
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $422 / 210 = 2.01$ persons per household. The ratio of 2.01 was held consistent, leading to approximately 233 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	65
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	65
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	270	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	142
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	157
	Difference	15
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	142
	Proposed Population in Households	157
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	125
	Proposed Households	138
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $142 / 125 = 1.14$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.14 was held consistent, leading to approximately 138 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	496
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	496
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Long Range Transportation Plan Proposed SEDATA Changes 7/1/2026

TAZ	272	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	43
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	47
	Difference	4
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	43
	Proposed Population in Households	47
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 0 people reside in group quarters; result unchanged.	
Households	Original Households	26
	Proposed Households	28
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $43 / 26 = 1.65$ persons per household. The ratio of 1.65 was held consistent, leading to approximately 28 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	588
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	588
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

TAZ	273	
Population	Original 2050 Population Projection	124
	Proposed 2050 Population Projection	137
	Difference	13
	Population Justification: Per coordination with Amherst County, re-allocate growth from other TAZs to make sure no TAZs have negative growth rates.	
Population in Households	Original Population in Households	26
	Proposed Population in Households	39
	Population in Households Justification: Original SEDATA indicates 98 people reside in group quarters. This number was held constant so $137 - 98 = 39$	
Households	Original Households	8
	Proposed Households	12
	Households Justification: The original household ratio is $26 / 8 = 3.25$ persons per household. The ratio of 3.25 was held consistent, leading to approximately 12 households.	
Employment	Original 2050 Employment Projection	197
	Proposed 2050 Employment Projection	197
	Difference	0
	Employment Justification: No change	

CVTPO Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) Proposed Structure Amendment
2050 Population and Employment Changes Map

CVPDC TAZ Assumptions

