Central Virginia Transportation Technical Committee

Region 2000 Local Government Council Office Large Conference Room 828 Main Street, 12th Floor Lynchburg, Virginia 24504

Thursday, October 11, 2018 – 10:30 a.m.

<u>Agenda</u>

1.	Call to OrderPaul Harvey, Chair
2.	Approval of Minutes: July 12, 2018 (See Attachment 1)
3.	Recommendation of HSIP (Highway Safety Improvement Program) Applications to VDOTScott Smith, CVMPO
4.	Update on Traffic Improvements to US 29 SB Expressway Ramp at Wards RoadDon DeBerry, <i>City of Lynchburg</i>
5.	Update on SMART SCALE Scoring Proccess
6.	Presentation and Discussion on Recommended Improvements and Funding Mechanisms in the I-81 Corridor Study (and how they relate to the Lynchburg area)
7.	Matters from the CommitteeAll

8. Adjournment - Next meeting: November 8, 2018 at 10:30 a.m.

General Information

• Transportation Technical Committee Statement of Purpose (See Attachment GI)

Central Virginia Transportation Technical Committee

828 Main Street, 12th Floor, July 12, 2018 at 10:30 a.m.

DRAFT MINUTES

PRESENT

Brian Booth (U)	Greater Lynchburg Transit Company
Nick Britton (U/R)	Virginia Dept. of Rail and Public Transportation
Jeremy Bryant (U/R)	Amherst County
Todd Carroll (U)	Liberty University
	City of Lynchburg
Mariel Fowler (U/R)	Bedford County
Paul Harvey (U/R)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Federal Highway Administration

ABSENT

Doyle Allen (U/R)	Bedford County Citizen Representative
Sara Carter (U)	
Mark Courtney (U)	Lynchburg Regional Airport
Tiffany Dubinsky (U/R)	Virginia Dept. of Rail and Public Transportation
Tom Martin (U)	City of Lynchburg
Richard Metz (U/R)	Campbell County Citizen Representative
Johnnie Roark (R)	Appomattox County
Gary Shanaberger (R)	Town of Appomattox
Russell Thurston (R)	
Bart Warner (R)	Town of Bedford
Dan Witt (R)	Town of Altavista

U- Members representing the urbanized area of the region

R- Members representing the rural area of the region

OTHERS PRESENT

David Cook	
Susan Cook	Region 2000 LGC/CVMPO
	Region 2000 LGC/CVMPO
	Virginia Dept. of Rail and Public Transportation

1. Call to Order

Paul Harvey called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

2. Approval of the June 14, 2018 Meeting Minutes

Upon a motion by Don DeBerry and seconded by Mariel Fowler the minutes of June 14, 2018 were unanimously approved.

3. Recommendation to CVMPO Policy Board & Local Government Council of SmartScale Applications

Scott Smith gave out a list of SmartScale applications that are live in the system right now.

Four SmartScale projects that are within the MPO boundaries either need to be listed in the Long Range Plan or consistent with the Long Range Plan and need to be certified by the MPO with a resolution submitted stating this. For rural projects a resolution is not needed, but the Local Government Council traditionally provides a resolution to localities to support applications.

The motion was made by Don DeBerry to make a recommendation that both the MPO Policy Board and the Local Government Council adopt a resolution supporting the projects presented. Mariel Fowler seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

4. Presentation on Transit Reform

Nick Britton addressed transit funding by the state legislature. He explained that 31% of the funding will go to operating for agencies, while capital purchases receive 12.5%, with special projects such as transit demand management, experimental transit projects, operational studies, and technical assistance grants falling into this category. However expiring CPR bonds did not get addressed.

Effective July 1st funding order will be determined by a tiered approach in the following order:

- 1) state of good repair
- 2) minor enhancements
- 3) major expansion

The goal of this prioritization is to reduce backlog.

Also effective July 1st, 100% of statewide operating funds will be based on performance, with factors being the current number of passengers per hour, how many passengers per revenue mile, and net cost per passenger.

5. Matters from the Committee

• Brian Booth reported that GLTC is wrapping up the final stages of their Transit Development Plan. He should have the final plan by the end of next week. He advised that they are looking at some pretty significant route changes, and will be scheduling public meetings during the month of August.

- Nick Britton introduced Dan Sonenklar, the new planner at DRPT. He will also be the alternate for the CVMPO.
- Scott Smith reminded the committee that the on-call consultants are available for everyone one to use as long as the requirements of the funding source are met.
- A recommendation was made several months ago to the MPO Policy Board to make a change in the Work Program dealing with the Van Pool study that was proposed. Scott advised that this process is moving along. Proposals have been received and Kelly Hitchcock has been working with consultants, refining the scope of work and refining our understanding of the qualifications of the consultant. He added that the proposed fee from the top consultant is much higher than anticipated.
- Scott explained that each year the MPO tries to fund a corridor study through planning funds. Within the MPO area last year, they studied Graves Mill Road in the City of Lynchburg and partially in Bedford County. It did go before the Lynchburg City Planning Commission and they recommended that the City Council adopt it and make it part of the Comprehensive Plan. It will go before the City Council on August 14. The project for the next year will be Forest Brook Road, which is a short connector road between Lakeside and Old Forest Road. The two on-call transportation consultants, EPR and Clark Nexsen, will be asked to put in proposals.
- Scott asked everyone to be thinking of study projects either in the MPO area, or outside of the MPO area that can be done in the next fiscal year, specifically in the rural area.
- 6. Adjourn There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

There will be no August meeting.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE Approved September 5, 2002

The Central Virginia Transportation Technical Committee (Committee) is responsible for supporting the Central Virginia Metropolitan Planning Organization's (CVMPO) and Region 2000 Regional Commission's transportation policy decision-making efforts.

The Committee provides technical advice in coordinating the federally-mandated "3-C" or continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative, transportation planning and programming process.

The Committee's three principal work efforts are updating the long range transportation plan, updating the transportation improvement program (TIP), and developing the annual unified planning work program. The Committee, in conjunction with its rural colleagues, also develops the annual Rural Transportation Planning Assistance Program Scope of Work. The Committee's intent is to review and comment on TIP projects and work program products.

The Committee acknowledges that the long range transportation plan update is the primary planning document for transportation issues in the Central Virginia region. This planning initiative drives the formulation of the transportation improvement program, as well as the annual work programs.

The Committee further realizes that the long range transportation planning process must identify regional priorities in order to fully influence project funding decisions ultimately exercised by the Commonwealth Transportation Board. The Committee's intent is to recommend priorities and encourage the CVMPO to set these priorities at the regional level.

Because of its importance, the Committee is fully committed to actively being involved in the long range transportation planning process.

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Committee will:

- 1. Coordinate with local planning departments to ensure an understanding of pertinent local development issues and their impact on the region;
- 2. Coordinate with nearby MPOs and develop an ongoing dialogue with them;
- 3. Strive to integrate land use and economic development, as well as transportation considerations, in its planning process;
- 4. Strive to be proactive as opposed to reactive in problem solving.